

**13TW4 Summative Evaluation
13TW4 (2013)**

**Southern New Hampshire University
College of Online and Continuing Education**

Course: LIT-652 X4449 - Grad Sem in Global Literature

Department: ENG

Responsible Faculty: Jenn McCollum

Responses / Expected: 8 / 19

Focus: Overall Results



Graph Legend

- LIT-652 - X4449
- ENG Courses
- All Courses

**COCE Summative Evaluation
- Course Questions**


LIT-652 - X4449

--- Survey Comparisons ---

	LIT-652 - X4449										--- Survey Comparisons ---					
	Responses (%)						Course				ENG			All		
	A	B	C	D	F	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q1 What is your expected grade in this course?	75%	13%	13%	0	0	8	4.63	5	5	.70	493	4.70	31	2.9K	4.72	29

Responses: [A] A=5 [B] B=4 [C] C=3 [D] D=2 [F] F=1

Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)




Graph Legend

- LIT-652 - X4449
- ENG Courses
- All Courses

COCE Summative Evaluation - Course Questions	LIT-652 - X4449										--- Survey Comparisons ---					
	Responses (%)					Course					ENG			All		
	SA	A	NAO	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q2 The course navigation was simple, clear and did not interfere with my ability to complete my coursework.	25%	75%	0	0	0	8	4.25	4	4	.43	493	4.43	26	2.9K	4.45	27
Q3 The technical systems (Blackboard, electronic access to Library, TurnItIn) were stable and worked well all or most of the time.	50%	25%	13%	13%	0	8	4.13	4.5	5	1.05	493	4.30	31	2.9K	4.30	31

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [NAO] Neither Agree or Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)



Graph Legend

- LIT-652 - X4449
- ENG Courses
- All Courses

COCE Summative Evaluation - Course Questions


LIT-652 - X4449

--- Survey Comparisons ---

	Responses (%)								Course			ENG			All		
	VS	S	NSO	U	VU	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	
Q4 Please rate your overall satisfaction with this course:	50%	25%	13%	13%	0	8	4.13	4.5	5	1.05	493	4.39	28	2.9K	4.32	32	

Responses: [VS] Very Satisfied=5 [S] Satisfied=4 [NSO] Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied=3 [U] Unsatisfied=2 [VU] Very Unsatisfied=1

Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)



COCE Summative Evaluation - Course Questions	LIT-652 - X4449											--- Survey Comparisons ---					
	Responses (%)								Course			ENG			All		
	SA	A	NAO	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	
Q5 The course materials were high quality and positively impacted my understanding of the topics.	25%	63%	0	13%	0	8	4.00	4	4	.87	493	4.42	18	2.9K	4.33	22	
Q6 The course materials effectively prepared me for the week's assignments.	38%	63%	0	0	0	8	4.38	4	4	.48	493	4.42	40	2.9K	4.31	52	
Q7 Instructions for completing course assignments were clear and easy to understand.	25%	50%	13%	13%	0	8	3.88	4	4	.93	493	4.31	25	2.9K	4.26	22	
Q8 I understood how my assignments would be graded each week.	63%	25%	0	13%	0	8	4.38	5	5	.99	493	4.42	30	2.9K	4.39	43	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [NAO] Neither Agree or Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

Q9 - What improvements could have been made to enhance the course design and/or delivery?


Response Rate: 50.00% (4 of 8)

- Include material that is actually global literature. Everything in the world does not, in fact, relate to Europe or colonialism.
- None. This was a well-designed course and executed well by the instructor.
- I find the new Bb system not to be a user friendly as the former versions. And since I have used other more user friendly systems, e.g. Sakai, this program does not equal the ease to use and navigate Sakai.



- The teacher should be more objective.





Graph Legend

- LIT-652 - X4449
- ENG Courses
- All Courses

COCE Summative Evaluation - Course Questions



LIT-652 - X4449

--- Survey Comparisons ---


COCE Summative Evaluation - Course Questions	LIT-652 - X4449										--- Survey Comparisons ---					
	Responses (%)					Course					ENG			All		
	VS	S	NSO	U	VU	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q10 Please rate your overall satisfaction with the course materials:	25%	63%	0	13%	0	8	4.00	4	4	.87	493	4.32	17	2.9K	4.25	27

Responses: [VS] Very Satisfied=5 [S] Satisfied=4 [NSO] Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied=3 [U] Unsatisfied=2 [VU] Very Unsatisfied=1

Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)



COCE Summative Evaluation - Course Questions	LIT-652 - X4449											--- Survey Comparisons ---					
	Responses (%)						Course					ENG			All		
	0H	5H	1H	1H	2H	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	
Q11 On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course, including time spent reading course materials, watching videos, writing, reviewing notes and other course-related work?	0	13%	25%	38%	25%	8	3.75	4	4	.97	493	3.05	93	2.9K	2.95	94	
Responses: [0H] 0-4 hours=1 [5H] 5-9 hours=2 [1H] 10-14 hours=3 [1H] 15-19 hours=4 [2H] 20+ hours=5 Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)																	









COCE Summative Evaluation - Course Questions	LIT-652 - X4449											--- Survey Comparisons ---					
	Responses (%)								Course			ENG			All		
	MH	H	ATS	L	ML	N/A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q12 Compared to other college courses, the amount of effort I put into this course was:	25%	63%	13%	0	0	0	8	1.88	2	2	.60	493	2.26	21	2.9K	2.36	14

Responses: [MH] Much Higher=1 [H] Higher=2 [ATS] About the Same=3 [L] Lower=4 [ML] Much Lower=5 [N/A] N/A=6
Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

Q13 - Is there anything else that you would like us to know about this course or instructor?

Response Rate: 100.00% (8 of 8)

- Dr. McCollum is tremendous. I learned more about writing from her than I have learned in the last 30 years. She is an outstanding teacher who goes far above and beyond the call of duty. She is a gem. 
- Jenn is very knowledgeable and demonstrated that many times throughout the course. She always provided constructive and helpful feedback, and made me a much better writer than I felt I was before I started this class. 
- I was a little disappointed that in a class called "Global Literature" we read five stories written by Europeans. Although Camus, Lessing, and Rhys were born and raised outside of Europe and have a distinct perspective that is between worlds, I did not choose to take this course because of that perspective. I was hoping to read more from voices that are less likely to be heard. What about a novel about Africa written by an African? I did appreciate the inclusion of Rhys and Lessing as women, but there could have been more female authors as well. Basically, I am tired of reading literature penned by dead white men. There are so many voices out there to be heard...why do we keep hearing the same ones? 
- The course was overwhelming, but nothing that I would expect from a graduate course. My biggest problem was that this instructor graded some things differently than many other instructors I have had do/did. I just did not get the warm fuzzy feeling from her as I have from other instructors or that I think I give my students. And I am not sure, using Skype, it was appropriate for viewers to have a snapshot of her bedroom--I believe it was originally until she changed the background--with her significant other lounging bare-chested on a bed. This is certainly 'professionalism' at its highest standard. My opinion 
- I am amazed at Jenn's expertise and her investment in students. What an incredible teacher. 
- College is about learning not competition, and the instructor's "Best of" forums created competition and feelings of inadequacy. Although I understand she created the forum as a form of motivation, it is important to remember that ALL students are not motivated in that manner. The workload was extremely large; it was the equivalent of two courses which was ridiculous - more is not necessarily better. 
- The instructor did not give feedback that would help me improve my work. I relied on feedback from my peers and a tutor. The instructor provided no feedback on final essay. After I worked so hard to improve from the first draft, I

- feel I deserved feedback as to why I received such a low grade. The instructor was not fair and objective. When she received negative feedback from us, she gave us all A's. We cannot all get A's. I would rather get a lower grade with effective feedback than an A to satisfy my approval. I did not think that we should have to read the novel Love in the Time of Cholera. I thought it to be trashy and hard for me get around the sex and perversion in the story. A better choice of books would have been better received. The fact that it received so many responses in the discussion boards does not constitute keeping it in the course. The fact that there was negative response should make the teacher take heed and caution in what she picks for course materials. I would not pick this teacher again if I have a choice.
- I felt that the instructor's expectations were nearly impossible to reach without having more guidance on the day to day work. I felt behind in this course, something that I have never experienced in a class before.



Results Summary by Question Category/Sub-Category

LIT-652 - X4449										
Question Categories / Sub-Categories	Responses (%)							Course		
	A	B	C	D	F	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
C1 COCE Summative Evaluation - Course Questions	75%	13%	13%	0	0	8	4.63	5	5	.70
Responses: [A] A=5 [B] B=4 [C] C=3 [D] D=2 [F] F=1										

Results Summary by Question Category/Sub-Category

LIT-652 - X4449										
Question Categories / Sub-Categories	Responses (%)							Course		
	SA	A	NAO	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
S1 General 2013	38%	50%	4%	8%	0	48	4.17	4	4	.85
C2 COCE Summative Evaluation - Course Questions	38%	50%	4%	8%	0	48	4.17	4	4	.85
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [NAO] Neither Agree or Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1										

Results Summary by Question Category/Sub-Category

LIT-652 - X4449										
Question Categories / Sub-Categories	Responses (%)							Course		
	VS	S	NSO	U	VU	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
S1 General 2013	38%	44%	6%	13%	0	16	4.06	4	4	.97
C2 COCE Summative Evaluation - Course Questions	38%	44%	6%	13%	0	16	4.06	4	4	.97
Responses: [VS] Very Satisfied=5 [S] Satisfied=4 [NSO] Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied=3 [U] Unsatisfied=2 [VU] Very Unsatisfied=1										

Results Summary by Question Category/Sub-Category

LIT-652 - X4449

Question Categories / Sub-Categories	Responses (%)							Course		
	0H	5H	1H	1H	2H	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
C1 COCE Summative Evaluation - Course Questions	0	13%	25%	38%	25%	8	3.75	4	4	.97
Responses: [0H] 0-4 hours=1 [5H] 5-9 hours=2 [1H] 10-14 hours=3 [1H] 15-19 hours=4 [2H] 20+ hours=5										

Results Summary by Question Category/Sub-Category

LIT-652 - X4449

Question Categories / Sub-Categories	Responses (%)							Course			
	MH	H	ATS	L	ML	N/A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
C1 COCE Summative Evaluation - Course Questions	25%	63%	13%	0	0	0	8	1.88	2	2	.60
Responses: [MH] Much Higher=1 [H] Higher=2 [ATS] About the Same=3 [L] Lower=4 [ML] Much Lower=5 [N/A] N/A=6											

**13TW4 Summative Evaluation
13TW4 (2013)**


**Southern New Hampshire University
College of Online and Continuing Education**

Course: LIT-652 X4449 - Grad Sem in Global Literature

Department: ENG

Responsible Faculty: Jenn McCollum


Responses: 8




COCE Summative Evaluation - Instructor Questions	McCollum, Jenn										--- Survey Comparisons ---					
	Responses (%)					Individual					ENG			All		
	SA	A	NAO	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q1 The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the content and course materials.	63%	38%	0	0	0	8	4.63	5	5	.48	493	4.66	42	2.9K	4.56	50
Q2 The instructor responded to my questions and concerns within 24 hours.	63%	25%	13%	0	0	8	4.50	5	5	.71	493	4.59	26	2.9K	4.45	44
Q3 The instructor provided constructive feedback that helped me improve my assignments.	50%	25%	0	13%	13%	8	3.88	4.5	5	1.45	493	4.36	23	2.9K	4.31	21

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [NAO] Neither Agree or Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)



Graph Legend



COCE Summative Evaluation - Instructor Questions	McCollum, Jenn										--- Survey Comparisons ---					
	Responses (%)					Individual					ENG			All		
	VS	S	NSO	U	VU	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q4 Please rate your overall satisfaction with this instructor:	50%	25%	13%	0	13%	8	4.00	4.5	5	1.32	493	4.44	18	2.9K	4.39	23

Responses: [VS] Very Satisfied=5 [S] Satisfied=4 [NSO] Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied=3 [U] Unsatisfied=2 [VU] Very Unsatisfied=1

Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

Results Summary by Question Category/Sub-Category

Question Categories / Sub-Categories	McCollum, Jenn									
	Responses (%)					Individual				
	SA	A	NAO	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
S1 COCE 2013 - Instructor Questions	58%	29%	4%	4%	4%	24	4.33	5	5	1.03
C2 COCE Summative Evaluation - Instructor Questions	58%	29%	4%	4%	4%	24	4.33	5	5	1.03

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [NAO] Neither Agree or Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Results Summary by Question Category/Sub-Category

Question Categories / Sub-Categories	McCollum, Jenn									
	Responses (%)					Individual				
	VS	S	NSO	U	VU	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
C1 COCE Summative Evaluation - Instructor Questions	50%	25%	13%	0	13%	8	4.00	4.5	5	1.32

Responses: [VS] Very Satisfied=5 [S] Satisfied=4 [NSO] Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied=3 [U] Unsatisfied=2 [VU] Very Unsatisfied=1

Feedback entered by **Jenn McCollum as Responsible Faculty**

September 9, 2013

Enter feedback/comments in the space below:

I have never had a webcam in my bedroom. I have an office space for all Skype and my husband is never in the room.